

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/831,483                        | 05/09/2001      | Daniel Wildmann      | 2821-205WOUS            | 5565            |  |
| 759                               | 90 10/01/2002   |                      |                         |                 |  |
|                                   | aulding & Huber | EXAMINER             |                         |                 |  |
| CityPlace II<br>185 Asylum Street |                 |                      | ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA   |                 |  |
| Hartford, CT 06103-4102           |                 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB     |                 |  |
|                                   |                 |                      | 1725                    | 6               |  |
|                                   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/831,483

Applicant(s)

Examiner

M. Alexandra Elve

Art Unit

1725

Wildmann et al.

| 1 | I | I | П | I |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| I |   |   |   |   | Ш |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will approximate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caused Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date</li> </ul> | ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailings the application to become ARANDONED (35.1.5 | ng date of this communication.    |  |  |  |  |  |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 🗂   | action is non-final.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance   | e except for formal matters, prose   | cution as to the merits is        |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex$ . Disposition of Claims   | parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453  | O.G. 213.                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s)   | 35 is/aro  | nending in the application        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)  | IS/are   | e withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s)   | 2. 22  | is/are allowed.                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 11, 23 - 28, 3  | 30-33  | is/are rejected.                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 12-22, 29   | , 34-35  | is/are objected to.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) ClaimsApplication Papers   | are subject to restric   | tion and/or election requirement. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ro o\  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15/4  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: all approved   | 37 CFR 1.85(a).                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl  | v to this Office action  | or disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-   | (d) or (f).                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha  | ave been received.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha  | ave been received in Application No  | D                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur  | reau (PC   Ruie   / 2(a))  | this National Stage               |  |  |  |  |  |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t  | the certified copies not received.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti  | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e  | ).                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provision  | nal application has been received.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti  | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120   | and/or 121.                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Pener Note)  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. T. C.  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  [5] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  [6] Other:   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | _  | I                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11, 23-28 & 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitahama et al. (US Pat. 5,217,155) in view of Bischofberger et al. (US Pat. 5,290,990).

Kitahama et al. discloses the joining of sheet material. Plastic deformation occurs between the end portions of leading and trailing pieces. End portions are brought into contact with each other due to metal flow, forming a gap portion between the sheet materials (abstract). Pressing rolls are used (see figure 1 article 5) (col. 4, lines 28-29 & col. 5, lines 43-47). It is beneficial to control the final gap. The gap is controlled to about 5 mm in size (col. 7, lines 23-38). Kitahama et al. does not teach workholders or clamping (brake).

Bischofberger et al. discloses a seam welding machine for sheet blanks. Clamping blocks clamp each block, both with an upper and lower clamp (abstract). An electrical resistance roller is used for mash seam welding (plastic deformation seam welding). Sheet blanks are pushed into a gap area (col. 2, lines 54-68, col. 3, lines 40-43 & col. 4, lines 1-25). It would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/831,483

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the blocks for clamping and holding, as taught by Bischofberger et al. in the Kitahama et al. system because the sheets have to be supported and aligned during processing.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 12-22, 29 & 34-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach a spherical shaped roller.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

'n

Application/Control Number: 09/831,483 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-33318.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 27, 2002.